Case 1:17-cv-00776-YK-SES Document 1 Filed 05/02/17 Page 1 of 9 CIVIL COVER SHEET

JS 44 (Rev. 07/16)

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the

purpose of initiating the civil of	locket sheet. <i>(SEE INSTRUCTI</i>)	ONS ON NEXT PAGE OF T	HIS FORM.)					
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Gracinda Pereira		-	DEFENDANTS A&S Services Group, LLC t/a A&S Kinard					
Œ	of First Listed Plaintiff Yo EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASE Address, and Telephone Number) b, Dion & Goldberger te 1199 Philadelphia, PA	ES)	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant York (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED. Attorneys (If Known)					
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)			I. CITIZENSHIP OF	PRINCIPAL	PARTIES	Place an "X" in C	me Rox fo	ar Plainti
1			(For Diversity Cases On	ly)		and One Box for	r Defendan	nt)
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	^ `		Citizen of This State	PTF DEF	ncorporated or Princorporated Organization (Princorporated		PTF	DEF □ 4
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Citizen of Another State	O 2 O 2 I	ncorporated <i>and</i> Pr of Business In A		5	☐ 5
			Citizen or Subject of a					
IV. NATURE OF SUIT			SEOD REITH ID POPMAY OF	V DANE	RUPTCV	OTHER	TATHTE	S
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education 348 Educat	PERSONAL INJURY J 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability J 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability J 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability J 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY J 370 Other Fraud J 371 Truth in Lending J 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus: J 363 General J 364 General J 365 Peath Penalty Other: J 404 Mandamus & Other J 505 Civil Rights J 555 Prison Condition J 606 Civil Detaince - Conditions of Confinement	Content Cont	422 Appeal 423 Withdra 28 USC 2	executive systems and systems ark systems (Security Systems) (Security	□ 480 Consume □ 490 Cable/Sa □ 850 Securitie Exchang □ 890 Other Si □ 891 Agriculti □ 893 Environ □ 895 Freedom Act □ 896 Arbitrati □ 899 Administ	aims Act (31 USC) apportionment ad Banking ce ion ar Influence organizatic er Credit t TV s/Commod ge atutory Act arral Acts nental Mat of Informa on arrative Proc ew or App Decision ionality of	ed and ons dities/ tions tters ation
	noved from	pellate Court	Reopened Ano (spec	ther District	1 6 Multidistric Litigation - Transfer	- I	Multidistr Litigation Direct File	ı <i>-</i>
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO		11te VII	ling (Do not cite jurisdictional:	1.0	ыу).			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS UNDER RULE 23, I	DEMAND S CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: X Yes No						
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(See instructions):	JDGE	1	DOCKET	NUMBER			
DATE SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECOND								
EOD OFFICE HER ONLY								
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	(OUNT	ADDI VING IED	JUDGE		MAG. JUDO	GE		
RECEIPT # AM	IOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE		MAG, JUDG	نىك		

} ,

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

GRACINDA PEREIRA

No.

v.

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

A&S SERVICES GROUP LLC t/a

A&S KINARD

CIVIL ACTION COMPLAINT

COMES NOW, Plaintiff, by counsel, and complains of defendant as follows:

JURISDICTION

1. This Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to the 42 U.S.C. Section 2000(e) et seq. of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 as amended (hereinafter "Title VII") and The Pregnancy Discrimination Act of 1978 (hereinafter "PDA"). This Complaint has been filed within 90 days after issuance of a Notice of Right to Sue by the EEOC.

PARTIES

2. Plaintiff, Giaconda Pereira, is an adult individual who resides at 1691 Long Drive, York, PA 17406.

3. Defendant, A&S Services Group LLC t/a A&S Kinard, is a corporation with a place of business located at 310 North Zarfoss Drive, York, PA 17404.

FACTS

- 4. At all times material hereto, defendant employed more than 15 people nationally.
- 5. On about April 27, 2015, plaintiff began working as a commercial truck driver for defendant.
 - 6. Plaintiff became pregnant in September 2016.
- 7. On December 2, 2015, plaintiff notified defendant that she was pregnant and she presented a doctors note to defendant requesting to reduce her work hours to no more than 40 hours per week (8 hours per day), and to take breaks "when necessary," as an accommodation for her pregnancy.
- 8. After agreeing to grant the accommodations that plaintiff's doctor had recommended, defendant and its agents started to treat plaintiff differently.
- 9. Before she notified defendant that she was pregnant, plaintiff was regularly assigned to non-smoking trucks virtually every workday because she explained that she was allergic to the smoke.

- 10. Before plaintiff notified defendant that she was pregnant, she also was assigned to only automatic transmission trucks, which are easier to operate.
- 11. After notifying defendant that she was pregnant, plaintiff was never again assigned to non-smoking and automatic transmission trucks, and instead, every truck to which she was assigned reeked of cigarettes and had a manual transmission.
- 12. Plaintiff complained multiple times that she should not be around smoke because she was pregnant and because she was allergic to smoke, and the smoke smell caused her to continuously cough.
- 13. Despite plaintiff's complaints, defendant forced her to drive the cigarette smelling manual transmission trucks, and defendant's agent, Brian (plaintiff's Driving Manager) told her: "If you don't want to drive that truck you can go home."
- 14. Plaintiff reluctantly continued to drive the cigarette smelling trucks to keep her job.
- 15. After December 2015, plaintiff specifically complained and requested that defendant to assign her an automatic transmission truck like she had always been assigned before defendant knew she was pregnant, but her complaints were ignored.
- 16. Plaintiff was out sick (unrelated to her pregnancy) from February 12, 2016 through February 21, 2016 due to a sinus

infection and pleurisy and she was cleared to return to work full duty (with the prior accommodations for her pregnancy) on February 22, 2016.

- 17. While she was out sick, plaintiff asked defendant if she should bring a note upon her return and Brian told her that she did not need one.
- 18. Plaintiff came in to work on February 22, 2016, and Brian (my Manager) assigned her a truckload to haul.
- 19. Before she could start work that day, plaintiff then saw Brian's manager whisper something to Brian and Brian asked me if she had a doctor's note.
- 20. Plaintiff reminded Brian that he told her she did not need a note, but Brian then insisted that plaintiff needed a note.
- 21. Plaintiff went straight to her doctor's office and got the note on February 22, 2016.
- 22. On February 23, 2016, plaintiff called Brian and told him that she had the note and would be in later that day, but Brian told plaintiff that he did not have any work for plaintiff and told her to stay home.
- 23. On February 24, 2016, plaintiff again called Brian and told him she would be in later that day, but Brian again told her to stay home—this time because of "bad weather".

- 24. Plaintiff always worked in bad weather in the past and there were was never a shortage of loads in the past.
- 25. On February 25, 2016, plaintiff decided to drive straight to work and confront Brian.
- 26. After waiting for 30 minutes trying to get Brian's attention, plaintiff handed him the doctor's note.
 - 27. Brian then informed plaintiff that she was terminated.
- 28. On February 26, 2016, plaintiff called Sandy Latchaw in defendant's Human Resources Department and Ms. Latchaw told her that she was terminated because she missed "7 days" of work.
- 29. Plaintiff was never issued any warnings about attendance pursuant to defendant's progressive discipline policy.
- 30. Defendant later falsely contended to the Department of Labor that plaintiff was terminated for being no-call/no-show on February 12, 2016 and February 15, 2016.
- 31. This was blatantly false in that plaintiff called Brian every day during the time period that she was absent.
- 32. Defendant's proffered shifting reasons for plaintiff's termination were blatantly false and were mere pretext to mask the true reason for her termination.
- 33. The true reasons that plaintiff was terminated were because she was pregnant and because she made a reasonable request for an accommodation for her pregnancy.

- 33. Defendant treated plaintiff differently than similarly situated non-pregnant commercial truck drivers who requested accommodations for medical reasons.
- 34. Plaintiff was terminated in violation of Title VII and the PDA because she was pregnant and because she requested accommodation for her pregnancy.
- 35. Defendant is responsible for the actions of its agents, because they were plaintiff's supervisors.
- 36. Plaintiff was subjected to humiliation, embarrassment, and mental anguish as a consequence of defendant's unlawful adverse employment action taken against her.
- 37. Plaintiff was suffered lost pay and other financial losses, and she was subjected to humiliation, embarrassment, and mental anguish as a consequence of defendants' termination of her employment.
- 38. Plaintiff has engaged in great efforts to mitigate her damages by searching for new employment, but she has been unsuccessful in her efforts to find equivalent employment to date.
- 39. Plaintiff is entitled to recover reasonable attorneys fees and costs associated with the prosecution of this lawsuit

COUNT 1- PREGNANCY DISCRIMINATION

- 40. Plaintiff repeats paragraphs 1-39 as if more fully set forth herein.
- 41. By and through its conduct, defendant violated Title VII and the PDA by intentionally discriminating against plaintiff and by terminating her employment because she was pregnant and requested accommodations for her pregnancy.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff demands that judgment be entered in his favor on Counts 1 against defendant for lost pay, lost bonuses, lost benefits, other financial losses, compensatory damages for emotional pain and suffering, punitive damages, liquidated damages, attorneys fees, costs, interest,

reinstatement and any other relief that this Honorable Court deems to be fair and proper.

Samuel A. Dion, Esq.

Dion & Goldberger

Signature Code: SAD2282

1845 Walnut Street

Suite 1199

Philadelphia, PA 19103

215-546-6033

Fax: 215-546-6269

Email: samueldion@aol.com

21 West Second Street

Suite 300

Media, PA 19063

610-566-3322

Fax: 610-548-9986

Email: batemanlaw@aol.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Date: May 1, 2017